Note On Elliptic Primitive Points

N. A. Carella

***Abstract*:** Let E be an elliptic curve of rank $\mathrm{rk}(E) \geq 1$, and let $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ be a point of infinite order. The number of elliptic primes $p \leq x$ for which $\langle P \rangle = E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is expected to be $\pi(x, E, P) = \delta(E, P)x/\log x + o(x/\log x)$, where $\delta(E, P) \geq 0$ is a constant. This note proves the lower bound $\pi(x, E, P) \gg x/\log x$.

Mathematics Subjects Classification: Primary 11G07; Secondary 11R45.
Keywords: Elliptic Prime; Primitive Point; Lange-Trotter Conjecture.

arXiv:1703.06806v1 [math.GM] 20 Mar 2017

1 Introduction

For fixed nonsingular elliptic curve $E : f(x, y) = 0$ over the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$, of rank $\mathrm{rk}(E) \geq 0$, and a point $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ of infinite order, the group of rational points is defined by

$$E(\mathbb{F}_p) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p : f(x, y) = 0\}, \tag{1}$$

and the subset of elliptic primitive primes is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}(E, P) = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : E(\mathbb{F}_p) = \langle P \rangle\}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbb{P} = \{2, 3, 5, \ldots\}$ is the set of prime numbers. The corresponding counting function is defined by

$$\pi(x, E, P) = \#\{p \leq x : E(\mathbb{F}_p) = \langle P \rangle\}. \tag{3}$$

The finite number of prime divisors $p \,|\, N$ of the conductor N of the elliptic curve are not included in the tally.

The early analysis and conjectures for primitive points in the groups of points of elliptic curves was undertaken in [3], and [21]. The heuristic arguments concluded with the following observation.

Conjecture 1.1 (Lang-Trotter)**.** *For any elliptic curve $E : f(x, y) = 0$ of rank $\mathrm{rk}(E(\mathbb{Q})) \geq 1$ and a point $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ of infinite order, the elliptic primitive prime counting function has the asymptotic formula*

$$\pi(x, E, P) = \delta(E, P)\frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right), \tag{4}$$

where $\delta(E, P) \geq 0$ is the density of elliptic primes.

The natural density has a complicated formula

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(E,P) &= \lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\#\{p\le x : \mathrm{ord}_E(P)=n\}}{\pi(x)}\\ &= \sum_{n\ge 1}\mu(n)\frac{\#\mathcal{C}_{P,n}}{\#\,\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[n],n^{-1}P)/\mathbb{Q})}\\ &= C(E,P)\prod_{p\ge 2}\left(1-\frac{p^3-p-1}{p^2(p-1)^2(p+1)}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

where $C(E,P)$ is a correction factor; and $\mathcal{C}_{P,n}$ is the union of certain conjugacy classes in the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[n],n^{-1}P))$. Here, the symbol $\mathrm{ord}_E(P) = \min\{m\ge 1 : mP=\mathcal{O}\}$ denotes the order of the point $P\in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and $n=\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the cardinality of the group. The product

$$\prod_{p\ge 2}\left(1-\frac{p^3-p-1}{p^2(p-1)^2(p+1)}\right) = 0.44014736679205786\ldots\tag{6}$$

is referred to as the average density of primitive primes $p\in\mathbb{P}=\{2,3,5,\ldots\}$ such that $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has a fixed primitive point $P\notin E(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ in the group of $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational points of the elliptic curve E. The average density is studied in [19], [22], and [32]. Other related results are given in [20], [1], [2], et alii.

Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, several specialized cases of the elliptic primitive point conjecture were proved in [12], and generalized to numbers fields in [31]. These cases cover various types of curves, which have complex multiplication, without complex multiplication, and curves of large ranks.

A completely different approach, applicable to both CM and nonCM elliptic curves, is devised here. This technique does not require the detailed analysis for the different types of CM and nonCM elliptic curves; and the complicated ranks information. The new method requires some information on the local properties of the point P. The main result has the following unconditional claim.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $E : f(X,Y)=0$ be an elliptic curve over the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ of rank $\mathrm{rk}(E(\mathbb{Q})\ge 1$, and let $P\in E(\mathbb{Q})$ be a point of infinite order. Suppose that $\langle P\rangle = E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for at least one large prime. Then,*

$$\pi(x,E,P)\gg\frac{x}{\log x}\left(1+O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)\right)\tag{7}$$

as $x\to\infty$.

In synopsis, for any suitable, nonsingular elliptic curve $E : f(X,Y)=0$, the corresponding group of rational points $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is generated by a point $P\in E(\mathbb{Q})$ of infinite order, and has the cyclic representation $\langle P\rangle = E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for infinitely many primes. The necessary condition $\langle P\rangle = E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for at least one large prime p sieves off those points $P\in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for which

$$\begin{aligned}&P=2Q \text{ for some } Q\in E[2], \qquad && P=3Q \text{ for some } Q\in E[3],\\ &P=5Q \text{ for some } Q\in E[5], && P=7Q \text{ for some } Q\in E[7],\ldots.\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

Example 1.1. Consider $E : Y^2 = X^3 - X$ and its the group of $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational points $E(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_d \times \mathbb{Z}_e$, where $d = 2, 4$ for all primes. In this case there are no primitive points since every point $P = dQ$ for some $Q \in E[d] = \{P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) : dP = \mathcal{O}\}$.

A more general analysis applies to this example, see [18], and [32]. Other numerical examples illustrating the condition (8) for various elliptic curves are explained in [24, Chapter 2]. The least prime p such that $\langle P \rangle = E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ seems to be completely determined by the conductor N of the elliptic curve, some information appears in [6, Corollary 1.2].

The preliminary background results and notation are discussed in Sections two to six. Section seven presents a proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Representation of the Characteristic Function

Let $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the group of $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational points, and let $n = \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The order of a point $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is defined by $\text{ord}_E(P) = \min\{m \geq 1 : mP = \mathcal{O}\}$. A point is a *primitive point* if and only if $\text{ord}_E(P) = n$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = n$ and let $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then, P is a primitive point if and only if $(n/q)P \neq \mathcal{O}$ for all prime divisors $q \,|\, n$.*

Basically, this is the classical Lucas-Lehmer primitive root test applied to the group of points $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Another primitive point test intrinsic to elliptic curves is the n-division polynomial test.

Lemma 2.2. (n-Division polynomial primitive point test) *Let $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = n$ and let $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then, P is a primitive point if and only if $\psi_{n/q}(P) \neq 0$ for all prime divisors $q \,|\, n$.*

The basic proof stems from the n-division polynomial relation

$$mP = \mathcal{O} \Longleftrightarrow \psi_m(P) = 0, \tag{9}$$

see [29, Proposition 1.25]. A discussion of the elementary properties of the n-division polynomials appears in [29], and the periodic property of the n-division polynomials appears in [27]. The elliptic primitive point test calculations in the penultimate lemma takes place in the set of integer pairs $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, while the calculations for the n-division polynomial primitive point test takes place over the set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$.

2.1 Divisors Dependent Characteristic Function

A characteristic function for primitive points on elliptic curve is described in the [28, p. 5]; it was used there to derive a primitive point search algorithm.

Lemma 2.3. *Let E be a nonsingular elliptic curve, and let $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be its group of points of cardinality $n = \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Let χ be the additive character of order d modulo d, and assume $P = (x_0, y_0)$ is a point on the curve. Then,*

$$\Psi_E(P) = \sum_{d\,|\,n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{0\le t<d} \chi(tP) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_E(P) = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_E(P) \neq n. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

2.2 Divisors Free Characteristic Function

A new *divisors-free* representation of the characteristic function of elliptic primitive points is developed here. This representation can overcomes some of the limitations of its equivalent in 2.3 in certain applications. The *divisors representation* of the characteristic function of elliptic primitive points, Lemma 2.3, detects the order $\operatorname{ord}_E(P)$ of the point $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ by means of the divisors of the order $n = \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$. In contrast, the *divisors-free representation* of the characteristic function, Lemma 2.4, detects the order $\operatorname{ord}_E(P) \ge 1$ of a point $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ by means of the solutions of the equation $mT - P = \mathcal{O}$, where $P, T \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ are fixed points, $\mathcal{O}$ is the identity point, and m is a variable such that $0 \le m \le n-1$, and $\gcd(m, n) = 1$.

The discrete logarithm function, with respect to the fixed primitive point T, maps the group of points into a cyclic group. The diagram below specifies the basic assignments.

$$\begin{array}{lcl} E(\mathbb{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \log_T(\mathcal{O}) = 0, \\ T & \longrightarrow & \log_T(T) = 1. \end{array} \tag{11}$$

In view of these information, the nontrivial additive character χ mod n reduces to

$$\chi(mT) = e^{\frac{i2\pi}{n}\log_T(mT)} = e^{i2\pi m/n}, \tag{12}$$

and

$$\chi(-P) = e^{\frac{i2\pi}{n}\log_T(-P)} = e^{-i2\pi k/n}, \tag{13}$$

where $\log_T(mT) = m$, $\log_T(P) = k$, and $\log_T(mT - P) = m - k$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $p \ge 2$ be a prime, and let T be a primitive point in $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$. For a nonzero point $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of order n the following hold: If $\chi \neq 1$ is a nonprincipal additive character of order* $\operatorname{ord}\chi = n$*, then*

$$\Psi_E(P) = \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0\le r\le n-1} \chi\left((mT - P)r\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_E(P) = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_E(P) \neq n, \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

where $n = \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the order of the rational group of points.

Proof. As the index $m \ge 1$ ranges over the integers relatively prime to n, the element $mT \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ranges over the elliptic primitive points. Ergo, the linear equation

$$mT - P = \mathcal{O}, \tag{15}$$

where $P, T \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ are fixed points, $\mathcal{O}$ is the identity point, and m is a variable such that $0 \leq m \leq n-1$, and $\gcd(m,n)=1$, has a solution if and only if the fixed point $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is an elliptic primitive point. Next, replace $\chi(t) = e^{i2\pi t/n}$ to obtain

$$\Psi_E(P) = \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq r \leq n-1} e^{i2\pi \log_T(mT-P)r/n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_E(P) = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_E(P) \neq n, \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

This follows from the geometric series identity $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq N-1} w^k = (w^N - 1)/(w-1)$ with $w \neq 1$, applied to the inner sum. ■

3 Estimates Of Exponential Sums

Given a composite modulo $n = 2s$, and the worst case parameter $r = s$, such that $1 \leq r < n$, the exponential sum

$$\sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} e^{i2\pi rm/n} = \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} e^{i\pi m} = -\varphi(n) \tag{17}$$

has a very weak (nearly trivial) upper bound. However, a more general result for certain subsets of integers moduli provides a nontrivial upper bound suitable for many applications.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $z \geq 2$ be a number, and let $n \in \mathcal{R}(z) = \{n \geq 1 : p \,|\, n \Rightarrow p \geq z\}$. Then,*

$$\max_{1 \leq r < n} \left| \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} e^{i2\pi rm/n} \right| \leq \frac{n}{z}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Let $n = p_1 n_2$ be a large integer, where $p_1 = Q(n)$ is the least prime divisor $p_1 \,|\, n$, and let $m = a + bp_1$ with $1 \leq a < p_1$, and $0 \leq b < n_2$. Now, decompose the finite sum as

$$\sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} e^{i2\pi rm/n} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq b < n_2 \\ \gcd(a+bp_1,n)=1}} \sum_{1 \leq a < p_1} e^{i2\pi r(a+bp_1)/n} \tag{19}$$

where $\gcd(a+bp_1, n) = 1$. The worse case for the absolute value of (19) occurs whenever $\gcd(r,n)$ is maximal. Moreover, since $r < n$, and $n \in \mathcal{R}(z)$, the worst case for the modulo $n = p_1 n_2$ is $r = r_1 n_2$ with $\gcd(r_1, n) = 1$. Substitute these quantities to reduce it to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq b < n_2 \\ \gcd(a+bp_1,n)=1}} \sum_{1 \leq a < p_1} e^{i2\pi r_1 n_2 (a+bp_1)/n} &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq b < n_2 \\ \gcd(a+bp_1,n)=1}} \sum_{1 \leq a < p_1} e^{i2\pi r_1 (a+bp_1)/p_1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq b < n_2 \\ \gcd(a+bp_1,n)=1}} \sum_{1 \leq a < p_1} e^{i2\pi r_1 a/p_1} \\ &\leq n_2 \left| \sum_{1 \leq a < p_1} e^{i2\pi r_1 a/p_1} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

As the inner sum $\sum_{1\leq a<p_1} e^{i2\pi r_1 a/p_1} = -1$ is a geometric series, it yields

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{1\leq r<n}\left|\sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} e^{i2\pi rm/n}\right| &\leq n_2\cdot|-1| \\ &\leq \frac{n}{p_1},\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $p_1 = Q(n) \geq z$. ■

The restriction to the moduli $n = p_1p_2$ with $p_1, p_2 \asymp n^{1/2}$ has an optimum upper bound. In fact, these moduli exhibit square root cancellations.

4 Smooth And Nonsmooth Numbers In Short Intervals

For a large number $x \geq 1$, and $2 \leq z \leq x$, the subset of smooth numbers is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(z) = \{n \geq 1 : P(n) \leq z\} \tag{22}$$

where $P(n) = \max\{p \,|\, n\}$ is the largest prime divisor of $n \geq 1$. The corresponding counting function is given by

$$\Psi(x, y) = \#\{n \leq x : P(n) \leq y\} \sim \rho x, \tag{23}$$

where $\rho(u) \geq 0$ is the density of smooth numbers. Some interesting applications of smooth numbers in short intervals call for estimates of the forms

$$\Psi(x + x^\beta, x^\alpha) - \Psi(x, x^\alpha) \sim \rho(1/\alpha)x^\beta, \tag{24}$$

with $1 \leq x^\alpha \leq x^\beta \leq x$, as $x \to \infty$, and $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. A rich assortment of results for various ranges of the parameters x, y, z in $\Psi(x + y, z) - \Psi(x, z) > 0$ are proved in the literature.

For parameters of nonexponential sizes there are very few results, see [9, Conjecture 1]. Neither conditional nor unconditional results for the extreme cases

$$\Psi(x + \log^B x, \log^C x) - \Psi(x, \log^C x) \gg \log^D x, \tag{25}$$

where $B, C, D > 0$, are expected to be proved in the near future. The interested reader should refer to [14] for a comprehensive introduction to this topic.

Theorem 4.1. ([8]) Let $x \geq 1$ be a large number, and let $\beta \geq \alpha > 0$. Then,

$$\Psi(x + x^\beta, x^\alpha) - \Psi(x, x^\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}x^\beta + c_0\pi_(x^\alpha). \tag{26}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

Theorem 4.2. ([9, Theorem 2.4]) There exist nonnegative absolute constants ν and c such that

$$\Psi(x,y) - \Psi(x-z,y) > cz \tag{27}$$

holds uniformly for all y, z such that

1. $y = x^{\alpha}$ with $1/2 - \nu \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \nu$;
2. $yz \geq x^{1-\nu}$ for all sufficiently large $x \geq 1$.

A more recent result, which is basically a special case of the last theorem, has a fixed parameter $z = x^{1/2}$ for the size of the short interval.

Theorem 4.3. ([16]) Let $\alpha > 1/4\sqrt{e}$. If $x \geq 1$ is sufficiently large then,

$$\Psi(x + x^{1/2}, x^{\alpha}) - \Psi(x, x^{\alpha}) \gg x^{1/2}. \tag{28}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\alpha > 1/4\sqrt{e}$. Then, there exists a constant $c_{\alpha} > 0$ such that*

$$\Psi(x + x^{1/2}, x^{\alpha}) - \Psi(x, x^{\alpha}) = c_{\alpha} x^{1/2} + o(x^{1/2}) \tag{29}$$

for all sufficiently large $x \geq 1$.

Proof. Combining theorems 4.1 and 4.3 yield

$$x^{1/2} \ll \Psi(x + x^{1/2}, x^{\alpha}) - \Psi(x, x^{\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} x^{1/2} + c_0 \pi_(x^{\alpha}). \tag{30}$$

This immediately implies the claim.

■

The counting function

$$\Theta(x,y,z) = \#\{n \leq x : p \,|\, n \Rightarrow y \leq p \leq z\} \tag{31}$$

is studied in [10]. In terms of the better known results it has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(x, x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}) &= \Psi(x, x^{\beta}) \prod_{p \leq x^{\alpha}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &\gg \frac{x^{\beta}}{\log x^{\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

see [11, Corollary 1]. For certain parameters such as $\alpha > 1/4\sqrt{e}$ and $\beta > \alpha$, this lower bound can be improved.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $x \geq 1$ be a large number, and let $x^{\alpha} < x^{\beta}$ with $1/4\sqrt{e} \leq \alpha \leq \beta < 1$. Then, interval $[x + x^{1/2}, x]$ contains*

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(x, x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta}) &= \#\{x \leq n \leq x + x^{1/2} : p \,|\, n \Rightarrow x^{\alpha} \leq p \leq x^{\beta}\} \\ &= c(\alpha,\beta) x^{1/2} + o(x^{1/2}) \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

nonsmooth integers $x \to \infty$, with $c(\alpha,\beta) > 0$ constant.

Proof. Fix the parameters $\alpha > 1/4\sqrt{e}$ and $\beta = 1 - \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ an arbitrary small number. By Lemma 4.1 the short interval $[x, x + x^{1/2}]$ contains

$$\Psi(x + x^{1/2}, x^{1-\varepsilon}) - \Psi(x, x^{1-\varepsilon}) = c_\beta x^{1/2} + o(x^{1/2}), \tag{34}$$

$x^{1-\varepsilon}$-smooth integers, and

$$\Psi(x + x^{1/2}, x^{\alpha}) - \Psi(x, x^{\alpha}) = c_\alpha x^{1/2} + o(x^{1/2}), \tag{35}$$

x^{α}-smooth integers, respectively. Taking the difference yields

$$\#\{x \le n \le x + x^{1/2} : p \,|\, n \Rightarrow x^{\alpha} \le p \le x^{\beta}\} = c(\alpha, \beta) x^{1/2} + o(x^{1/2}), \tag{36}$$

where $c(\alpha, \beta) = c_\beta - c_\alpha > 0$ is a constant. ■

5 Evaluation Of The Main Term

The exact asymptotic form of main term $M(x)$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is evaluated here. The analysis is restricted to the subset of integers $\mathcal{R} = \{n \ge 1 \text{ and } Q(n) \ge n^{\alpha}\}$, where $Q(n) = \min\{p \,|\, n\}$ is the smallest prime divisor of n, and $\alpha > 0$ is a small number. The subset of nonsmooth integers

$$R = [p - 2\sqrt{p}, p + 2\sqrt{p}] \cap \mathcal{R} \tag{37}$$

has nontrivial cardinality $R(x) \gg \sqrt{p}$, see Remark 5.1.

Lemma 5.1. *For any large number $x \ge 1$, and let $p \in [x, 2x]$ be prime. Then*

$$\sum_{x \le p \le 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p - 2\sqrt{p} \le n \le p + 2\sqrt{p} \\ n = p_1 n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} 1 \gg \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)\right), \tag{38}$$

where the second finite sum is restricted to the subset of integers $n = p_1 n_2$ for which $p_1 = Q(n) = \min\{p \,|\, n\} \ge n^{\alpha}$, and $\alpha > 0$ is a small number.

Proof. The value of the phi function $\varphi(n) = \#\{m : \gcd(m, n) = 1\}$. Thus, main term can be rewritten in the form

$$\sum_{x \le p \le 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p - 2\sqrt{p} \le n \le p + 2\sqrt{p} \\ n = p_1 n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} 1 = \sum_{x \le p \le 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p - 2\sqrt{p} \le n \le p + 2\sqrt{p} \\ n = p_1 n_2}} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n}. \tag{39}$$

The restriction to large integers of the form $n = p_1 n_2$ with $p_1 = Q(n) \ge n^{\alpha}$, and $\alpha > 0$, leads to the lower estimate

$$\frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} - \cdots \ge 1 - \frac{\log n}{n^{\alpha}} \ge \frac{1}{2}. \tag{40}$$

Replacing this, and applying Lemma 4.2 to the inner finite sum yield

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x\le p\le 2x}\frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}}\sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\le n\le p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}}\frac{\varphi(n)}{n} &\ge \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\le p\le 2x}\frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}}\sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\le n\le p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}}1\\ &\ge \sum_{x\le p\le 2x}\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\left(c(\alpha,\beta)\sqrt{p}+o(\sqrt{p})\right)\\ &\gg \sum_{x\le p\le 2x}1 \qquad (41)\\ &\gg \frac{x}{\log x}\left(1+O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lower bound. ■

Remark 5.1. The second line above uses the nonsmooth numbers estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\le n\le p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}}1=\Theta(x,x^{\alpha},x^{\beta})=c(\alpha,\beta)\sqrt{p}+o(\sqrt{p}), \qquad (42)$$

for the number of integers $n\in(p-2\sqrt{p},p+2\sqrt{p})$, where $p_1=Q(n)\ge n^{\alpha}$ is the least prime divisor of n. This is derived from the results for smooth integers in short intervals, see Lemma 4.2. Currently, there are many rigorous proofs in the literature for the parameter $\alpha\ge 1/6$, and $\beta\ge\alpha$.

The weaker estimate for $\Theta(x,x^{\alpha},x^{\beta})$ in (32) yields

$$\sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\le n\le p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}}1=\Theta(x,x^{\alpha},x^{\beta})\gg\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\log p}. \qquad (43)$$

6 Estimate For The Error Term

The analysis of the upper bound for the error term $E(x)$, which occurs in the proof of Theorem 1.1, is split into two parts. The first part in Lemma 6.1 is an estimate for the triple inner sum. And the final upper bound is assembled in Lemma 6.2. The analysis is restricted to the subset of integers $\mathcal{R}=\{n\ge 1 \text{ and } Q(n)\ge n^{\alpha}\}$, where $Q(n)=\min\{p\,|\,n\}$ is the smallest prime divisor of n, and $\alpha>0$ is a small number. The subset of nonsmooth integers $R=[p-2\sqrt{p},p+2\sqrt{p}]\cap\mathcal{R}$ has nontrivial cardinality $R(x)\gg\sqrt{p}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let E be a nonsingular elliptic curve over rational number, let $P\in E(\mathbb{Q})$ be a point of infinite order. Let $x\ge 1$ be a large number. For each prime $p\ge 3$, fix a primitive point T, and suppose that $P\in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is not a primitive point for all primes $p\ge 2$, then*

$$\sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\le n\le p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1,\ 1\le r<n}\sum\chi((mT-P)r)\le 4p^{1/2-\alpha}, \qquad (44)$$

where $p_1 = Q(n) \geq n^\alpha$, *and* $\alpha > 0$ *is a small number.*

Proof. The nontrivial additive character $\chi \neq 1$ evaluates to

$$\chi(rmT) = e^{\frac{i2\pi}{n}\log_T(rmT)} = e^{i2\pi rm/n}, \tag{45}$$

and

$$\chi(-rP) = e^{\frac{i2\pi}{n}\log_T(-rP)} = e^{-i2\pi rk/n}, \tag{46}$$

respectively, see (12). To derive a sharp upper bound, rearrange the triple inner finite sum as a product

$$\begin{aligned} T(p) &= \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1,\ 1\leq r<n} \chi((mT-P)r) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1\leq r<n} \chi(-rP) \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} \chi(rmT) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} \left(\sum_{1\leq r<n} e^{-i2\pi rk/n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} e^{i2\pi rm/n}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Let

$$U_n = \max_{1\leq k<n} \sum_{0<r\leq n-1} e^{-i2\pi rk/n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_n = \max_{1\leq k<n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} e^{i2\pi rm/n}. \tag{48}$$

Then, by the triangle inequality,

$$|T(p)| \leq \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} |U_nV_n| \leq \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} |U_n||V_n|. \tag{49}$$

In this application, the Holder inequality $||AB||_1 \leq ||A||_\infty \cdot ||B||_1$ takes the form

$$\sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} |U_nV_n| \leq \max_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} |U_n| \cdot \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} |V_n|. \tag{50}$$

The supremum norm $||U_n||_\infty$ of the exponential sum $U_n = U_n(k)$ is given by

$$\max_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1p_2}} |U_n| = \max_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} \left|\sum_{0<r\leq n-1} e^{-i2\pi rk/n}\right| = 1. \tag{51}$$

This follows from $\sum_{0<r\leq n-1} e^{i2\pi rk/n} = -1$, $1 \leq k < n$.

The absolute value of the second exponential sum $V_n = V_n(r)$ has the upper bound

$$|V_n| = \frac{1}{n}\left|\max_{1\leq r<n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} e^{i2\pi rm/n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n^\alpha}, \tag{52}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a small number. In general, this exponential sum, modulo a composite integer n, dependents on both r and n. But for the restricted moduli $n = p_1 n_2$, where $p_1 = Q(n) \geq n^{\alpha}$ is the least prime divisor of n, it has a uniform, and independent of r upper bound, see Lemma 3.1.

The corresponding 1-norm $||V_n||_1$ has the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} |V_n| &\leq \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} \left|\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} 1 \qquad (53) \\ &\leq 4p^{1/2-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The last line uses the trivial estimate $\sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} 1 \leq 4\sqrt{p}$.

Now, replace the estimates (51) and (53) into (49), the Holder inequality, to reach

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} |U_nV_n| &\leq \max_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} |U_n| \cdot \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} |V_n| \qquad (54) \\ &\leq 1 \cdot 4p^{1/2-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the verification. ■

Lemma 6.2. *Let E be a nonsingular elliptic curve over rational number, let $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ be a point of infinite order. For each large prime $p \geq 3$, fix a primitive point T, and suppose that $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is not a primitive point for all large primes $p \geq x$, then*

$$\sum_{x\leq p\leq 2x} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} 1 \sum_{1\leq r<n} \chi((mT-P)r) = O\left(\frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{\log x}\right), \qquad (55)$$

where $p_1 = Q(n) \geq n^{\alpha}$, and $\alpha > 0$ is a small number.

Proof. By assumption $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is not a primitive point. Hence, the linear equation $mT - P = \mathcal{O}$ has no solution $m \in \{m : \gcd(m,n) = 1\}$. This implies that the discrete logarithm $\log_T(mT - P) \neq 0$, and $\sum_{1\leq r<n} \chi((mT-P)r) = -1$. This in turns yields

$$\begin{aligned} |E(x)| &= \left| \sum_{x\leq p\leq 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1,\ 1\leq r<n} \chi((mT-P)r) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{x\leq p\leq 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} 1 \qquad (56) \\ &\ll \sum_{x\leq p\leq 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} \frac{1}{2} \\ &\ll \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the inequality $(1/n)\sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} 1 = \varphi(n)/n \le 1/2$ for all integers $n \ge 1$ was used in the third line. Hence, there is a nontrivial upper bound for the error term.

To derive a sharper upper bound, take absolute value, and apply Lemma 6.1 to the inner triple sum to obtain this:

$$\begin{aligned}
|E(x)| &= \left| \sum_{x\le p\le 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\le n\le p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1,\ 1\le r<n} \chi((mT-P)r) \right| \\
&\le \sum_{x\le p\le 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \left| \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\le n\le p+2\sqrt{p}\\ n=p_1n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1,\ 1\le r<n} \chi((mT-P)r) \right| \\
&\le \sum_{x\le p\le 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \left(4p^{1/2-\alpha}\right) \\
&\le \sum_{x\le p\le 2x} \frac{1}{p^{\alpha}} \qquad (57) \\
&\le \frac{1}{x^{\alpha}} \left(\frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{\log x}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a small number ■

7 Primitive Points On Elliptic Curves

The torsion subgroup $E(\mathbb{Z})_{\text{tors}} = \{P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) : nP = \mathcal{O} \text{ some } n \ge 1\}$ of an elliptic curve is sort of analogous to the subset of quadratic residues $\{x^2 : x \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ in a finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$. Consequently, the constraints for primitive points $P \notin E(\mathbb{Z})_{\text{tors}}$ is analogous to the primitive roots constraints $u \ne \pm 1, v^2$. The size of the torsion group $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ is bounded by 16, see [26, Theorem 7.5], [29, Theorem 6.4], et cetera. More generally, the cardinality $\#E(\mathcal{K})_{\text{tors}} = O(d^2)$ for an algebraic numbers field extension of degree $d = [\mathcal{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ remains bounded as $x \to \infty$, see [7]. Hence, a torsion point cannot be a primitive point, with finite number of exceptions.

The other constraint $\langle P\rangle = E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for at least one large prime p ensures that

$$P \ne 2Q \text{ for some } Q \in E[2], \qquad P \ne 3Q \text{ for some } Q \in E[3], \ldots, \qquad (58)$$

see Example 1.1. A few other cases that fail this requirement are demonstrated in [24, Chapter 2].

The characteristic function for primitive points in the group of points $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of an elliptic curve $E : f(x, y) = 0$ has the representation

$$\Psi_E(P) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_E(P) = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_E(P) \ne n. \end{cases} \qquad (59)$$

Here, $\mathrm{ord}_E(P) = \min\{n \geq 1 : nP = \mathcal{O}\}$ is the order of the point in the group of $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational points. The parameter $n = \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the size of the group of points; and the exact formula for the characteristic function $\Psi_E(P)$ is given in Lemma 2.4.

Since for each prime $p \geq 2$ the order $n \in [p - 2\sqrt{p}, p + 2\sqrt{p}]$ is unique, the weighted sum

$$\frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{p-2\sqrt{p} \leq n \leq p+2\sqrt{p}} \Psi_E(P) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} & \text{if } \mathrm{ord}_E(P) = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathrm{ord}_E(P) \neq n. \end{cases} \tag{60}$$

defines a discrete measure for the density of elliptic primitive primes $p \geq 2$ such that $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a primitive points.

The analysis is restricted to the subset of integers $n = p_1 n_2$, where $p_1 = Q(n) \geq n^{\alpha}$ is the least prime divisor of n, and $\alpha > 0$ is a small number. This restriction arises in the calculations for the error term and the corresponding exponential sums.

Proof. (Theorem 1.1.) Let $\langle P \rangle = E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for at least one large prime $p \leq x_0$. Let $x > x_0 \geq 1$ be a large number, and suppose that $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})/E(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ is not a primitive point in $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for all primes $p \geq x$. Then, the sum of the elliptic primitive primes measure over the short interval $[x, 2x]$ vanishes. Id est,

$$0 = \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p} \leq n \leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n = p_1 p_2}} \Psi_E(P). \tag{61}$$

Replacing the characteristic function, Lemma 2.4, and expanding the nonexistence equation (61) yield

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p} \leq n \leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n = p_1 n_2}} \Psi_E(P) \qquad (62) \\ &= \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p} \leq n \leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n = p_1 p_2}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1,\ 0 \leq r \leq n-1} \chi((mT - P)r) \right) \\ &= \delta_0(E,P) \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p} \leq n \leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n = p_1 n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1} 1 \\ &\qquad + \sum_{x \leq p \leq 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p} \leq n \leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n = p_1 n_2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gcd(m,n)=1,\ 1 \leq r \leq n-1} \chi((mT - P)r) \\ &= \delta(E,P) M(x) + E(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(E,P) = \delta_0(E,P) c_0 \geq 0$ is the density depending on both the fixed elliptic curve $E : f(x,y) = 0$, the fixed point P, and some correction factor $c_0 \geq 0$.

The main term $M(x)$ is determined by a finite sum over the principal additive character $\chi_0((mT - P)r) = 1$, and the error term $E(x)$ is determined by a finite sum

over the nontrivial additive characters $\chi((mT-P)r) \neq 1$.

Applying Lemma 5.1 to the main term, and Lemma 6.2 to the error term yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x\leq p\leq 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} \Psi_E(P) &= \delta(E,P)M(x)+E(x) \qquad (63) \\ &\gg \left(\frac{x}{\log x}+O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)\right)+O\left(x^{1-\alpha}\right) \\ &\gg \frac{x}{\log x}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

But for $\delta(E,P)>0$ and all large numbers $x>x_0$, the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x\leq p\leq 2x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} \Psi_E(P) &\gg \frac{x}{\log x}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right) \\ &> 0, \qquad (64) \end{aligned}$$

contradicts the hypothesis (61). Therefore, the number of elliptic primitive primes $p\geq x$ in the short interval $[x,2x]$ for which the fixed elliptic curve of rank $\mathrm{rk}(E)\geq 1$ has a primitive point P that generates the elliptic groups of orders $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)=n=p_1n_2$, with $p_1=Q(n)\geq n^{\alpha}$, and $\alpha>0$ is a small number, is infinite as $x\to\infty$.

Lastly, the number of elliptic primitive primes has the asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x,E,P) &= \sum_{\substack{p\leq x \\ \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)=n \text{ and } \mathrm{ord}_E(P)=n}} 1 \\ &\geq \sum_{p\leq x} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{p-2\sqrt{p}\leq n\leq p+2\sqrt{p} \\ n=p_1n_2}} \Psi_E(P) \qquad (65) \\ &\gg \frac{x}{\log x}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is obtained from summation of the elliptic primitive primes density function over the interval $[1,x]$. ■

Problem. Prove the Lange-Trotter for elliptic curves of rank $\mathrm{rk}(E)=0$.

References

[1] Balog, Antal; Cojocaru, Alina-Carmen; David, Chantal. Average twin prime conjecture for elliptic curves. Amer. J. Math. 133,(2011), no. 5, 1179-1229.

[2] Julio Brau, Character sums for elliptic curve densities, arXiv:1703.04154.

[3] Borosh, I.; Moreno, C. J.; Porta, H. Elliptic curves over finite fields. II. Math. Comput. 29 (1975), 951-964.

[4] Cojocaru, Alina Carmen. Reductions of an elliptic curve with almost prime orders. Acta Arith. 119 (2005), no. 3, 265-289.

[5] Cojocaru, Alina Carmen. Questions about the reductions modulo primes of an elliptic curve. Number theory, 61-79, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[6] Cojocaru, Alina Carmen. Cyclicity of CM elliptic curves modulo p. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), no. 7, 2651-2662.

[7] Pete L. Clark, Paul Pollack, The truth about torsion in the CM case, II, arXiv:1612.06318.

[8] Erdos, P.; Turk, J. Products of integers in short intervals. Acta Arith. 44 (1984), no. 2, 147-174.

[9] Friedlander, J. B.; Lagarias, J. C. On the distribution in short intervals of integers having no large prime factor. J. Number Theory 25 (1987), no. 3, 249-273.

[10] Friedlander, John B. Integers free from large and small primes. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 33 (1976), no. 3, 565-576.

[11] Fouvry, E.; Tenenbaum, G. Entiers sans grand facteur premier en progressions arithmetiques. (French) [Integers free of large prime factors in arithmetical progressions] Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 63 (1991), no. 3, 449-494.

[12] Gupta, Rajiv; Murty, M. Ram. Primitive points on elliptic curves. Compositio Math. 58 (1986), no. 1, 13-44.

[13] Gupta, Rajiv; Murty, M. Ram. Cyclicity and generation of points mod p on elliptic curves. Invent. Math. 101 (1990), no. 1, 225-235.

[14] Granville, Andrew Smooth numbers: computational number theory and beyond. Algorithmic number theory: lattices, number fields, curves and cryptography, 267-323, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 44, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[15] C. Hooley, On Artins conjecture, J. Reine Angew. Math. 225, 209-220, 1967.

[16] Harman, Glyn. Integers without large prime factors in short intervals and arithmetic progressions. Acta Arith. 91 (1999), no. 3, 279-289.

[17] Iwaniec, Henryk; Kowalski, Emmanuel. Analytic number theory. AMS Colloquium Publications, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[18] Jimenez Urroz, Jorge. Almost prime orders of CM elliptic curves modulo p. Algorithmic number theory, 74-87, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 5011, Springer, Berlin, 2008.

[19] Jones, Nathan. Averages of elliptic curve constants. Math. Ann. 345 (2009), no. 3, 685-710.

[20] Jones, Nathan. Almost all elliptic curves are Serre curves. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), no. 3, 1547-1570.

[21] Lang, S.; Trotter, H. Primitive points on elliptic curves. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), no. 2, 289-292

[22] Lenstra, H. W., Jr.; Stevenhagen, P.; Moree, P. Character sums for primitive root densities. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 157 (2014), no. 3, 489-511; and arXiv:1112.4816.

[23] Maynard, James. On the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem. Acta Arith. 157 (2013), no. 3, 249-296.

[24] Giulio Meleleo, QUESTIONS RELATED TO PRIMITIVE POINTS ON ELLIPTIC CURVES AND STATISTICS FOR BIQUADRATIC CURVES OVER FINITE FIELDS, Thesis, Universita degli Studi, Roma Tre, 2015.

[25] Montgomery, Hugh L.; Vaughan, Robert C. Multiplicative number theory. I. Classical theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[26] Silverman, Joseph H. The arithmetic of elliptic curves. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 106. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.

[27] Silverman, Joseph H. p-adic properties of division polynomials and elliptic divisibility sequences. Math. Ann. 332 (2005), no. 2, 443-471.

[28] Shparlinski, Igor E.; Voloch, Jose Felipe. Generators of elliptic curves over finite fields. Preprint 2011.

[29] Schmitt, Susanne; Zimmer, Horst G. Elliptic curves. A computational approach. With an appendix by Attila Petho. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 31. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2003.

[30] Tenenbaum, Gerald. Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory. Translated from the Third French edition. American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 2015.

[31] Virdol, Cristian Artin's conjecture for abelian varieties. Kyoto J. Math. 56 (2016), no. 4, 737-743.

[32] Zywina, David. A refinement of Koblitz's conjecture, arXiv:0909.5280.